

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ENRIQUETA BATIZ,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

8:12CR313

ORDER

This matter is before the court on defendant's MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [52]. For good cause shown, I find that the motion should be granted. The defendant will be given an approximate 22-day extension. Pretrial Motions shall be filed by December 26, 2012.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Defendant's MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [52] is granted. Pretrial motions shall be filed on or before **December 26, 2012**.

2. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between December 4, 2012 and December 26, 2012**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel required additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

**DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2012.**

**BY THE COURT:**

**s/ F.A. Gossett  
United States Magistrate Judge**